



Courthouse Options Decision Matrix

Option	Pros	Cons	Estimated Cost
A.) Take No Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Costs only associated with maintenance and upkeep 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate parking – no separation of Judge, public and staff parking • No ability to securely transport or hold prisoners separate from public • Insufficient number of courtrooms • Inadequate public screening and queuing spaces • Mixed movement paths for Judges, public and prisoners (safety and liability concerns) • Inadequate access for individuals with disabilities • Inadequate court jury pool or deliberation space • Inadequate courtroom size except for superior courtroom • Inadequate conference and attorney breakout space 	None
B.) Renovate and Expand Historic Courthouse in Downtown Brevard	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keeps existing historic court location • Construction costs for building lower than other options • Reason to keep historic building open • Provides for public toilets for after hour use 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Short term solution: architectural studies indicate this would last about 15 years before needing further expansion or renovation. • Existing parking issues not resolved – actually reduces the existing number or must include a parking deck (Land for deck not included in construction costs) • A large expansion will negatively affect historic courthouse appearance • During renovation, unknown latent issues may be discovered needing repair. Significant uncertainty regarding total end cost to taxpayers. • Existing courthouse doesn't have modern court layout and cannot be renovated into having one. • “Front door” is hidden to the rear 	\$19,420,437- \$22,331,073 + Land for Parking Deck (Range in price is difference between 150 car and 300 car parking decks)
C.) Construct New 2-Story Courthouse on Morris Road	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose-designed for 21st century courts • Allows for modern technology for court use • Close proximity to Sheriff and detention facility • Adequate proper and separate parking areas • Better security and public accessibility • Will repair current site drainage issues • Longer Life Expectancy • Preserves and allows for repurposing of existing courthouse 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moves current court location from downtown • Construction costs more significant than Renovation/Expansion Project 	\$25,868,156
D.) Construct 2-Story Courthouse Facility (with parking lot) on Morris Road with 3rd Story Shell	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purpose-designed for 21st century courts • Advantages of new facility with increased expansion options • Longest Life Expectancy • Least Long Term by having shell third floor available to finish as needed (room available as legislative or growth impacts need for space) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Moves current court location from downtown • Costlier than downtown addition option 	\$31,147,293